

Multifocal Plane Analysis Is Essential for Accurate Cell Viability Assessment Using an Automated Cell Counter

Frank Hsiung, Eli Hefner, Mike Griffin, and Teresa Rubio, Gene Expression Division, 2000 Alfred Nobel Drive, Hercules, CA 94597 USA

Introduction

Researchers in the fields of life sciences are often required to determine the concentration of cells they are working with. Calculation of the cell concentration is conventionally done by manually counting cells under a microscope using a hemocytometer. Manual counting is tedious, time-consuming, and error prone, yet it is an essential step prior to many experiments. An accurate cell count ensures consistency in experimental outputs. The TC10™ automated cell counter is a benchtop instrument that counts mammalian cells in one simple rapid step; it provides the total cell count of the sample, as well as assessment of cell viability via trypan blue staining. The accuracy of live vs. dead cell count using the TC10 counter is enabled by an automated multifocal plane analysis.

Similar instruments available on the market all use a single focal plane to assess cell viability, which often leads to miscounting of live cells: light scattering and alignment of cells at different heights in a counting chamber can cause some live cells to appear dead. The TC10 cell counter eliminates this concern by utilizing a multifocal plane analysis strategy to assess cell viability. The instrument engages an auto-focusing process upon insertion of sample slides, and the sharpest focal plane is chosen for calculating the total cell count. The instrument then scores each cell in the counting field across multiple focal planes above the sharpest plane (Figure 1) to precisely determine if a cell is dead or live, and the percentage of live cells in a population is presented.

In this study we demonstrate how single and multiple focal plane analyses can affect live vs. dead cell ratio determination.




Fig. 1. Schematic of multifocal plane analysis. Top views of two cells (trypan blue stained dead cell and unstained live cell) at different focal planes are illustrated on the left.

Methods

HeLa, Jurkat, and human primary fibroblast cells (CCD-1137Sk) were used in the study. Cells were grown in their optimal growth media (as recommended by the American Type Culture Collection) at 37°C with 5% CO₂ until ~90% confluent. HeLa and CCD-1137Sk cells were trypsinized using 1x trypsin/EDTA, then resuspended in PBS prior to use. Cells were stained by mixing cell suspension with trypan blue in a 1:1 ratio.

Results and Discussion

To illustrate the importance of multifocal plane analysis, an image stack consisting of nine focal planes was acquired (Figure 2). In the example shown in Figure 2, plane 5 had the best contrast between cells and backgrounds, resulting in more cells found than in any other plane and a ratio of 1:1 live to dead cells. The count from plane 2, on the other hand, identified 70% live cells but only 85% of the total cells found on plane 5 could be counted. Results from the analysis shown in Figure 2 strongly indicate that the focal plane chosen can dramatically bias the accuracy of total and live/dead cell counts.




Fig. 2. Effect of focal plane choice on cell count. The bottom panel shows microscopic views of cells at different focal planes. The chart shows the cell count at each plane.

Multifocal Plane Analysis

The TC10 cell counter measures total cell count by collecting the cell count from the plane with the highest contrast. Live/dead ratio is then assessed by checking and comparing images from several planes neighboring the one used for total counts. Three cell types were tested and the results (Table 1) confirm that the accuracy of live/dead ratio determination can vary dramatically if measured only using a single focal plane, with the larger cells being the easiest to assess (for example, CCD-1137Sk cells show no difference between single and multiple focal plane analyses). The discrepancy in results between single and multiple focal plane analyses varies between cell types, presumably due to differences in their shape and size, though a correlation has not been established. In the case of HeLa cells, 30% of cells were misidentified as dead when only a single focal plane was used, strongly indicating that multifocal plane analysis is essential for an accurate live/dead assessment.

Table 1. Effect of single and multiple focal plane analyses on cell viability assessment.

Cell Viability Assessment	Live Cells, %		
	Jurkat Cells	HeLa Cells	CCD-1137Sk Cells
Single best focal plane	50	35	22
Multiple focal planes	56	65	22
Cells misidentified as dead by single focal plane analysis, %	6	30	0

Automated vs. Manual Live Cell Counting

In a comparative analysis (Figure 3), a random population of Jurkat cells mixed with trypan blue (1:1) were counted with a hemocytometer, a TC10 automated cell counter, and a commercially available automated cell counter that does not apply multifocal plane analysis. The results obtained from the TC10 cell counter and a hemocytometer for both live and dead cells showed no statistically significant differences, while the results obtained with the automated counter using only single focal plane analysis showed significantly lower numbers of live and dead cells, resulting in a much lower total cell count.




Fig. 3. Comparative analysis of cell counting technologies. The concentration of a Jurkat cell sample determined by manual hemocytometer counting was compared to the concentrations obtained by automated cell counting using multifocal plane analysis (TC10 cell counter) and single focal plane analysis (commercially available cell counter). The results are based on six individual experiments.

Conclusions

Using just a single focal plane for analysis not only increases the risk of mistaking live cells for dead ones, but also the likelihood of severely undercounting the total number of cells, presumably due to the fact that cells outside of the single focal plane chosen are difficult to be recognized as such. Therefore, having the capability of assessing each cell across several focal planes is truly necessary in order to provide an accurate report of live, dead, and total cell counts. The TC10 automated cell counter from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. is the only cell counting instrument that allows automatic multifocal plane analysis, therefore, providing more accurate results than other instruments of its kind currently available on the market.

Information in this tech note was current as of the date of writing (2010) and not necessarily the date this version (rev A, 2010) was published.



**Bio-Rad
Laboratories, Inc.**

Life Science
Group

Web site www.bio-rad.com USA 800 424 6723 Australia 61 2 9914 2800 Austria 01 877 89 01 Belgium 09 385 55 11 Brazil 55 31 3689 6600
Canada 905 364 3435 China 86 20 8732 2339 Czech Republic 420 241 430 532 Denmark 44 52 10 00 Finland 09 804 22 00 France 01 47 95 69 65
Germany 089 31 884 0 Greece 30 210 777 4396 Hong Kong 852 2789 3300 Hungary 36 1 459 6100 India 91 124 4029300 Israel 03 963 6050
Italy 39 02 216091 Japan 03 6361 7000 Korea 82 2 3473 4460 Mexico 52 555 488 7670 The Netherlands 0318 540666 New Zealand 0508 805 500
Norway 23 38 41 30 Poland 48 22 331 99 99 Portugal 351 21 472 7700 Russia 7 495 721 14 04 Singapore 65 6415 3188 South Africa 27 861 246 723
Spain 34 91 590 5200 Sweden 08 555 12700 Switzerland 061 717 95 55 Taiwan 886 2 2578 7189 United Kingdom 020 8328 2000